

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,081	02/01/2006	Tomohisa Tenra	MAT-8798US	2252
52473 7590 01/12/2009 RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S	
VALLEY FOR	GE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/595,081	TENRA, TOMOHISA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Alexander Thomas	1794		
	10/595,081 Examiner	10/595,081 TENRA, TOMOHIS/ Examiner Art Unit	

	Alexander Thomas	1794					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exh under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropris nally set in the final Office e of the final rejection, e	te extension fee e action; or (2) as een if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	of the date of appeal. Since a				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the properties of the prope	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	ici ioiii ioi appear by materially rec	rucing or simplifying ti	10 133463 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1: 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12			TOL 224)				
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (-1 OL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
							
	//Alexander Thomas// Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1794					

Continuation of 3, NOTE: the proposed changes to the specification regarding the use of the term "strain" raise the issue of new matter.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the proposed changes to the specification concerning the term "strain" are new matter not supported by the original disclosure. Applicant alledges that a translation error occurred and that the term "distortion point" was actually "strain point". However, this is not convincing because applicant has not supplied any documented evidence to support this position. The only evidence supplied is a substitute specification which was not entered and, even it it was entered, it does not support applicant's ospition that a translation error occurred. Concerning the discussion of new matter rejection set forth in the Final rejection, applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed persuasive for the same reasons as set forth in the Final rejection.